

Contents lists available at [ScienceDirect](http://www.sciencedirect.com)

## Linear Algebra and its Applications

journal homepage: [www.elsevier.com/locate/laa](http://www.elsevier.com/locate/laa)A note on “ $5 \times 5$  Completely positive matrices”Hongbo Dong<sup>a</sup>, Kurt Anstreicher<sup>b,\*</sup><sup>a</sup> Department of Applied Mathematics and Computational Sciences, University of Iowa, Iowa City, IA 52242, United States<sup>b</sup> Department of Management Sciences, University of Iowa, Iowa City, IA 52242, United States

## ARTICLE INFO

## Article history:

Received 20 October 2009

Accepted 14 April 2010

Available online 13 May 2010

Submitted by U. Rothblum

## AMS classification:

15A48

15A21

90C22

## Keywords:

Completely positive matrices

Doubly nonnegative matrices

Copositive matrices

## ABSTRACT

In their paper “ $5 \times 5$  Completely positive matrices”, Berman and Xu (2004) [3] attempt to characterize which  $5 \times 5$  doubly nonnegative matrices are also completely positive. Most of the analysis in [3] concerns a doubly nonnegative matrix  $A$  that has at least one off-diagonal zero component. To handle the case where  $A$  is componentwise strictly positive, Berman and Xu utilize an “edge-deletion” transformation of  $A$  that results in a matrix  $\tilde{A}$  having an off-diagonal zero. Berman and Xu claim that  $A$  is completely positive if and only if there is such an edge-deleted matrix  $\tilde{A}$  that is also completely positive. We show that this claim is false. We also show that two conjectures made in [3] regarding  $5 \times 5$  completely positive matrices are both false.

© 2010 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

## 1. Introduction

A real symmetric  $n \times n$  matrix  $A$  is *completely positive* if there exists an entrywise nonnegative  $n \times r$  matrix  $B$  such that  $A = BB^T$ . We denote  $\mathcal{CP}_n$  as the cone of  $n \times n$  completely positive matrices. A real symmetric matrix  $A$  is *doubly nonnegative* if  $A$  is elementwise nonnegative and positive semidefinite. We denote  $\mathcal{DNN}_n$  as the cone of  $n \times n$  doubly nonnegative matrices. Obviously we have  $\mathcal{CP}_n \subseteq \mathcal{DNN}_n \subseteq \mathcal{DNN}_n^* \subseteq \mathcal{COP}_n$ , where  $\mathcal{DNN}_n^*$  and  $\mathcal{COP}_n$  are dual cones of  $\mathcal{DNN}_n$  and  $\mathcal{CP}_n$ . Matrices in  $\mathcal{COP}_n$  are called *copositive*. It is well known that the first and third inclusions are strict if and only if  $n \geq 5$  [2]. To understand the difference between  $\mathcal{CP}_n$  and  $\mathcal{DNN}_n$  it is therefore natural to consider the case of  $n = 5$ , which has received particular attention in the literature [3,5,1].

\* Corresponding author.

E-mail addresses: [hondong@math.uiowa.edu](mailto:hondong@math.uiowa.edu) (H. Dong), [kurt-anstreicher@uiowa.edu](mailto:kurt-anstreicher@uiowa.edu) (K. Anstreicher).

In [3], the authors studied the problem of determining if a given matrix  $A \in \mathcal{DN}\mathcal{N}_5$  is also in  $\mathcal{CP}_5$ . If  $A$  has a diagonal zero then it is immediate that  $A \in \mathcal{CP}_5$ , so the diagonal components of  $A$  may be assumed to be strictly positive. If  $A$  has an off-diagonal zero, then after a diagonal scaling and symmetric permutation,  $A$  may be assumed to have the form

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} A_{11} & \alpha_1 & \alpha_2 \\ \alpha_1^T & 1 & 0 \\ \alpha_2^T & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (1)$$

where  $A_{11} \in \mathcal{DN}\mathcal{N}_3$ . The focus of [3] is to develop explicit conditions on a matrix  $A$  of the form (1) that ensure that  $A \in \mathcal{CP}_5$ . Many of the conditions developed in [3] involve the Schur complement  $C = A - \alpha_1 \alpha_1^T - \alpha_2 \alpha_2^T$ . For example, Berman and Xu prove that if  $\mu(C)$  is the number of negative entries above the diagonal of  $C$ , then  $\mu(C) \neq 2 \Rightarrow A \in \mathcal{CP}_5$ .

To handle the case where  $A > 0$  (that is,  $a_{ij} > 0$  for all  $i, j$ ), Berman and Xu introduce the *edge-deletion* operation described in the following definition. For a symmetric  $n \times n$  matrix  $A$ , let  $G(A)$  denote the graph on vertices  $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  with edges  $\{\{i, j\} : a_{ij} \neq 0\}$ . Let  $e_i$  denote an elementary vector of appropriate dimension whose  $i$ th component is equal to one, and  $E_{ij} = e_i e_j^T$ .

**Definition 1.** A matrix  $\tilde{A}$  is an *edge-deleted matrix* of  $A$  if  $\tilde{A} = SAS^T$ , where  $S = I - \nu E_{ij}$  for some  $i \neq j$  and  $\nu > 0$ , and  $G(\tilde{A})$  is a subgraph of  $G(A)$  obtained by deleting at least one of its edges.

Berman and Xu then claim the following:

**Claim 1** ([3, Theorem 6.1]). *Let  $A > 0, A \in \mathcal{DN}\mathcal{N}_5$ . Then  $A \in \mathcal{CP}_5$  if and only if there exists an edge-deleted matrix of  $A$ ,  $\tilde{A}$ , with  $\tilde{A} \in \mathcal{CP}_5$ .*

Using Claim 1, the results of [3] based on a matrix of the form (1) could also be applied to a matrix  $A > 0$  by first applying the edge-deletion procedure. Unfortunately, in the next section we show via a counterexample that Claim 1 is false. We also describe where the error occurs in the attempted proof of Claim 1 in [3]. In Section 3, we show that two additional conjectures made in [3] regarding matrices in  $\mathcal{CP}_5$  of the form (1) are also false.

## 2. A counterexample to Claim 1

The following  $5 \times 5$  completely positive matrix appears in [1]. Let

$$N := \frac{\sqrt{2}}{4} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 2 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 2 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 2 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 2 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 2 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$A := NN^T = \frac{1}{8} \begin{pmatrix} 8 & 5 & 1 & 1 & 5 \\ 5 & 8 & 5 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 5 & 8 & 5 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 5 & 8 & 5 \\ 5 & 1 & 1 & 5 & 8 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (2)$$

Then  $A \in \mathcal{CP}_5$ , but we will show that there exists no edge-deleted matrix  $\tilde{A}$  of  $A$  such that  $\tilde{A} \in \mathcal{CP}_5$ . To this end, suppose that  $\tilde{A} = SAS^T$ , where  $S = I - \nu E_{ij}$ ,  $i \neq j$  and  $\nu > 0$ . Then

$$\tilde{A} = A - \nu A e_i e_i^T - \nu e_i e_j^T A + \nu^2 a_{ij} e_i e_i^T,$$

and we immediately obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{a}_{kl} &= a_{kl}, & k \neq i, l \neq i, \\ \tilde{a}_{il} &= a_{il} - \nu a_{jl}, & l \neq i, \\ \tilde{a}_{ki} &= a_{ki} - \nu a_{kj}, & k \neq i, \\ \tilde{a}_{ii} &= a_{ii} - 2\nu a_{ij} + \nu^2 a_{jj}.\end{aligned}$$

Note that  $\tilde{A}$  is positive semidefinite by construction, so  $\tilde{a}_{ii} \geq 0$  for any  $\nu$ . In order to have an off-diagonal zero in  $\tilde{A}$  while maintaining nonnegativity of  $\tilde{A}$ , we must therefore have

$$\nu = \min_{l \neq i} \frac{a_{il}}{a_{jl}}. \quad (3)$$

Consider for example  $i = 5, j = 3$ . Then (3) gives  $\nu = \frac{1}{8}$ , so  $S = I - \frac{1}{8}E_{53}$  and the edge-deleted matrix  $\tilde{A}$  is

$$\tilde{A} = SAS^T = \frac{1}{8} \begin{pmatrix} 8 & 5 & 1 & 1 & 4.875 \\ 5 & 8 & 5 & 1 & 0.375 \\ 1 & 5 & 8 & 5 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 5 & 8 & 4.375 \\ 4.875 & 0.375 & 0 & 4.375 & 7.875 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Clearly  $\tilde{A} \in \mathcal{DN}\mathcal{N}_5$ , but  $\tilde{A} \notin \mathcal{CP}_5$  because  $\tilde{A} \bullet H := \text{tr} \tilde{A}H = -\frac{15}{64} < 0$ , where  $H \in \mathcal{COP}_5$  is the famous Horn matrix given by

$$H := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 & 1 & 1 & -1 \\ -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 \\ -1 & 1 & 1 & -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (4)$$

The matrix  $H$  was first proposed by Hall [4] to show that  $\mathcal{COP}_5 \setminus \mathcal{DN}\mathcal{N}_5^*$  is nonempty. In [1], it was shown that simple transformations of the Horn matrix can be used to separate extreme but not completely positive elements of  $\mathcal{DN}\mathcal{N}_5$  from  $\mathcal{CP}_5$ .

The same argument used above for  $i = 5, j = 3$  applies to each  $i, j$  with  $A_{ij} = \frac{1}{8}$ ; in each case the ratio test (3) gives  $\nu = \frac{1}{8}$ , and the edge-deleted matrix  $\tilde{A}$  has  $H \bullet \tilde{A} < 0$ , demonstrating that  $\tilde{A} \notin \mathcal{CP}_5$ .

Next consider  $i = 5, j = 1$ . Then (3) gives  $\nu = \frac{1}{5}$ , so  $S = I - \frac{1}{5}E_{51}$ , and the edge-deleted matrix  $\tilde{A}$  is

$$\tilde{A} = SAS^T = \frac{1}{8} \begin{pmatrix} 8 & 5 & 1 & 1 & 3.40 \\ 5 & 8 & 5 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 5 & 8 & 5 & 0.80 \\ 1 & 1 & 5 & 8 & 4.80 \\ 3.40 & 0 & 0.80 & 4.80 & 6.32 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Once again  $\tilde{A} \in \mathcal{DN}\mathcal{N}_5$ , but  $\tilde{A} \notin \mathcal{CP}_5$  because  $\tilde{A} \bullet H = -0.06 < 0$ . The same argument applies to the other  $i, j$  with  $A_{ij} = \frac{5}{8}$ . We have therefore shown that no edge-deleted matrix of  $A$  is in  $\mathcal{CP}_5$ , as claimed.

Since Claim 1 is false, it is worthwhile to investigate where the error occurs in the attempted proof of [3, Theorem 6.1]. The “if” part of Claim 1 is certainly true, and follows easily from the fact that if  $S = I - \nu E_{ij}$ , where  $i \neq j$  and  $\nu > 0$ , then  $S^{-1} = I + \nu E_{ij}$  is nonnegative. To prove the “only if” part of the claim, Berman and Xu use a geometric argument based on interpreting a matrix  $A \in \mathcal{CP}_n$  as the Gram matrix of a set of  $n$  nonnegative vectors in  $\mathbb{R}^r$ , for some  $r$ . For  $A \in \mathcal{CP}_5$  we then have  $a_{ij} = \langle \alpha_i, \alpha_j \rangle$ ,  $1 \leq i, j \leq 5$ , where each  $\alpha_i \in \mathbb{R}^r$ . The idea of the proof in [3] is to construct a new set of vectors  $\{\alpha'_i\}_{i=1}^5$  whose Gram matrix corresponds to an edge-deleted matrix of  $A$ . This construction requires that unitary rotations be applied to the vectors  $\{\alpha_i\}_{i=1}^5$ , but the authors fail to show that these rotations maintain the nonnegativity of  $\{\alpha'_i\}_{i=1}^5$  as required to prove that the edge-deleted matrix is in  $\mathcal{CP}_5$ .

### 3. Two additional conjectures

In this section, we show that two conjectures proposed in [3, Section 7] are false. Both conjectures concern a matrix  $A \in \mathcal{DN}\mathcal{N}_5$  of the form (1). For such a matrix, let  $C$  be the Schur complement  $C = A_{11} - \alpha_1 \alpha_1^T - \alpha_2 \alpha_2^T$ , and let  $\mu(C)$  be the number of negative entries above the diagonal in  $C$ . Berman and Xu prove that  $\mu(C) \neq 2 \Rightarrow A \in \mathcal{CP}_5$ , and in [3, Section 4] consider the case of  $\mu(C) = 2$ .

**Conjecture 1.** Suppose that  $A \in \mathcal{DN}\mathcal{N}_5$  has the form (1), with  $\mu(C) = \text{rank}(C) = 2$  and  $c_{12} > 0$ . Then  $A$  is completely positive if and only if  $\det C[1, 2 \mid 1, 3] \geq 0$ , where

$$C[1, 2 \mid 1, 3] = \begin{pmatrix} c_{11} & c_{13} \\ c_{21} & c_{23} \end{pmatrix}.$$

The “if” part is proved to be true in [3]. We show the “only if” part is false by a counterexample. Let

$$A := \begin{pmatrix} 2.02 & 1.51 & 0.12 & 0.90 & 0.60 \\ 1.51 & 1.14 & 0.09 & 0.70 & 0.50 \\ 0.12 & 0.09 & 0.57 & 0.40 & 0.10 \\ 0.90 & 0.70 & 0.40 & 1.00 & 0.00 \\ 0.60 & 0.50 & 0.10 & 0.00 & 1.00 \end{pmatrix}, \quad C = \begin{pmatrix} 0.85 & 0.57 & -0.30 \\ 0.58 & 0.40 & -0.24 \\ -0.30 & -0.24 & 0.40 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Clearly  $\mu(C) = 2$  and  $c_{12} > 0$ , and it is easy to verify that  $A \in \mathcal{DN}\mathcal{N}_5$  and  $\text{rank}(C) = 2$ . It follows from [3, Theorem 2.5] that  $A \in \mathcal{CP}_5$ . However  $\det C[1, 2 \mid 1, 3] = -0.03$ , and therefore Conjecture 1 is false.

In the statement of Conjecture 1, we made the assumption that  $c_{12} > 0$ , rather than  $c_{12} \geq 0$  because  $c_{12} > 0$  is assumed throughout [3, Section 4]. It is worth noting that the conjecture also fails in the case that  $c_{12} = 0$ , as shown by the following example. Let

$$A := \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 4 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad C = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 \\ -1 & -1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

It is easy to show that  $A \in \mathcal{DN}\mathcal{N}_5$ , and therefore  $A \in \mathcal{CP}_5$  because  $G(A)$  does not contain a five-cycle. One can easily see that  $\mu(C) = \text{rank}(C) = 2$ . However,  $\det C[1, 2 \mid 1, 3] = -1$ , and therefore Conjecture 1 is also false in the case that  $c_{12} = 0$ .

**Conjecture 2.** Suppose that  $A \in \mathcal{DN}\mathcal{N}_5$  has the form (1) and is nonsingular. Then  $A \in \mathcal{CP}_5$  if and only if it is possible to decrease some of the diagonal entries of  $A_{11}$ , resulting in a singular matrix  $\tilde{A}$  with  $\tilde{A} \in \mathcal{CP}_5$ .

The “if” part is shown to be true in [3]. To show that the “only if” part is false, consider any matrix  $A \in \mathcal{CP}_5$  where  $G(A)$  is a five-cycle.<sup>1</sup> (To construct such a matrix it suffices to take any nonnegative  $A$  where  $G(A)$  is a five-cycle and then increase the diagonal components until  $A$  is diagonally dominant [2].) It is shown in [2, Chapter 3] that if  $A \in \mathcal{CP}_5$  and  $G(A)$  is a five-cycle, then  $A$  is nonsingular. Therefore, it is impossible to decrease the diagonal entries of  $A$  to obtain a singular  $\tilde{A}$  while maintaining  $\tilde{A} \in \mathcal{CP}_5$ , and Conjecture 2 is false.

### References

- [1] Samuel Burer, Kurt M. Anstreicher, Mirjam Dür, The difference between  $5 \times 5$  doubly nonnegative and completely positive matrices, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 431 (2009) 1539–1552.
- [2] Abraham Berman, Naomi Shaked-Monderer, *Completely Positive Matrices*, World Scientific, 2003.
- [3] Abraham Berman, Changqing Xu,  $5 \times 5$  Completely positive matrices, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 393 (2004) 55–71.
- [4] Marshall Hall Jr., *Combinatorial Theory*, Blaisdel, 1967.
- [5] Changqing Xu, Completely positive matrices of order five, *Acta Math. Appl. Sinica* 17 (2001) 550–562.

<sup>1</sup> The original draft of the paper considered a particular such matrix. We are grateful to a referee for pointing out the generic nature of this counterexample.